



TLCX WHITE PAPER

Where Failure Isn't an Option:

What Regulated-Industry CX Actually Requires

Why healthcare, government, and financial services buyers need a fundamentally different evaluation standard for CX partners — and what that standard looks like in practice.

By Bryan Gray, Chief Commercial Officer, TLCx

Executive Summary

In regulated industries, a bad customer interaction isn't just a bad customer interaction. It's a compliance event, an audit finding, a penalty exposure, or in healthcare, a patient safety question. That changes what "vendor evaluation" has to mean for buyers in healthcare, government, and financial services — and it changes what a CX partner has to prove before they're trusted with the account.

Most CX vendor conversations are still won or lost on cost per contact and cultural fit. In regulated environments, that's necessary but nowhere near sufficient. The real diligence questions are about certification, audit trails, escalation discipline, and what happens when volume spikes beyond anyone's forecast — because in these sectors, it eventually will.

This paper lays out what regulated-industry delivery actually demands — the certifications that matter, the ones that don't hold up under scrutiny, and the operating discipline that separates a partner who's ready for regulated work from one who only sounds ready.

Why Regulated CX Is a Different Discipline

Three things are true in healthcare, government, and financial services CX that aren't true everywhere else:

**01**

The cost of a mishandled interaction isn't reputational, it's regulatory — HIPAA violations, compliance findings, and contractual penalty thresholds carry direct financial and legal consequences.

**02**

Volume is unpredictable in ways a standard forecasting model doesn't capture — open enrollment surges, benefit system conversions, and public health events routinely blow past projections.

**03**

The buyer isn't just evaluating your team, they're evaluating your governance — because when something goes wrong, the question isn't just "what happened" but "what's your documented process for catching it."

A CX partner built for regulated work has to be able to answer all three, with evidence, before the account ever goes live. Most can talk about the first. Fewer can demonstrate the second. Very few can produce the third.

The Proof Standard: What “Regulated-Ready” Actually Means

“Compliant,” “certified,” and “authorized” get used interchangeably in most CX vendor conversations, and a regulated buyer’s compliance team will notice immediately if they aren’t. Precision here is the point — it’s the first test of whether a partner actually understands the regulatory environment they’re claiming to operate in. Here’s where TLCx stands, stated to scope:



Certifications and attestations TLCx holds:

SOC 2

Independently audited controls for security and availability.

PCI-DSS

Payment card industry data security standard, for the scope of card-data handling in our environment.



Regulatory frameworks TLCx operates in compliance with:

HIPAA

Healthcare data protection and privacy requirements. This is a compliance obligation we operate under, not a certification TLCx is issued.

IRS Publication 1075

Federal tax information safeguarding requirements, where federal tax information is in scope of the engagement.



Platform authorizations TLCx delivers on through inheritance:

FedRAMP

Delivered through the authorization of the underlying cloud platform we operate on, not held directly by TLCx.

GovCloud

Same basis: inherited through the platform environment, not a TLCx-held authorization.

That distinction — held, complied with, or inherited — matters less as a technicality and more as an operating discipline. It’s the same discipline that shows up in delivery flexibility (on-premise, cloud, or hybrid, matched to what the client’s compliance posture actually requires) and in platform-agnostic integration, because regulated buyers can rarely afford to be locked into a single vendor’s technology stack.

A partner who can’t draw this line clearly for you hasn’t done the work to understand it themselves.

Healthcare: From Service Quality to Trust Infrastructure

In healthcare, patients don't separate care quality from service quality— a mishandled scheduling call or a confusing billing interaction erodes trust just as much as a clinical misstep does. That's the reframe healthcare buyers should be applying to CX vendor evaluation: this isn't a service line, it's part of the trust infrastructure of the care relationship.

A regulated-ready healthcare CX partner needs to operate across the full patient journey — clinical access and nurse triage, member services and benefits navigation, provider credentialing, billing and claims, and compliance-grade quality monitoring — with HIPAA, CMS, and state-level requirements embedded by design, not retrofitted after an audit

finding. Automated compliance checks and risk detection have to run on every regulated interaction, not a sample of them.

This is also where ownership structure becomes a legitimate diligence point rather than a marketing line: a veteran-owned, employee-owned operator has a structurally different relationship to mission-driven precision than a private-equity-backed vendor optimizing for a five-year exit. In regulated healthcare delivery, that difference shows up in retention, in escalation judgment, and in whether frontline teams are incentivized to get it right or just get through the call.

Financial Services: CX as a Regulated Asset

Banking, insurance, and fintech buyers should think about experience quality the way they think about any other regulated asset: it needs to be measurable, monitored, and defensible under examination. Every interaction either builds or erodes the trust regulated financial brands run on — and unlike most industries, that trust has direct compliance and retention economics attached to it.

The standard that matters here is monitoring coverage, not sample-based QA. AI-driven quality intelligence that reviews every regulated interaction — not a random sample — is what turns compliance posture and customer experience from a trade-off into a shared outcome. That's the level of coverage financial services buyers should be requiring, not requesting.

The Common Thread: Discipline, Ownership, Governance

Across healthcare, government, and financial services, the pattern is the same. The providers who perform under real pressure — a system conversion, a compliance audit, a volume spike no one forecast — share three traits:

- ✓ A precise, honest account of what's certified, what's complied with, and what's inherited — not a blended list that blurs the difference
- ✓ Monitoring and audit trails that cover every regulated interaction, not a sample
- ✓ An ownership and culture model that rewards getting it right over getting through the call

None of that shows up on a standard cost-per-contact comparison. It shows up when something goes wrong — which, in regulated industries, is a matter of when, not if.

What to Ask a Regulated-Industry CX Partner

- 1 For each item on your compliance list: is this held, complied with, or inherited from a platform — and can you show me the difference?
- 2 What percentage of regulated interactions are actually monitored for compliance — not sampled, monitored?
- 3 Walk me through your response the last time volume exceeded forecast by 30% or more.
- 4 What's your documented escalation path when a frontline interaction touches a compliance risk?
- 5 Can I see a reference account where you were tested under real operational pressure, not just onboarded smoothly?

The Bottom Line

Regulated-industry buyers can't evaluate CX partners the way the rest of the market does. Cost per contact and cultural fit matter, but they don't answer the questions that actually determine whether a partner holds up under an audit, a system conversion, or a volume spike no one saw coming. A precise account of certifications, monitoring coverage, and ownership discipline does.

The partners worth trusting with regulated work aren't the ones with the smoothest pitch — they're the ones who can stand behind every claim on this list with specifics, not generalities, and who welcome the scrutiny rather than deflecting it.

About the Author



Bryan Gray

Chief Commercial Officer, TLCx

Bryan Gray is Chief Commercial Officer at TLCx, a veteran-owned, employee-owned leader in customer experience transformation. He brings more than two decades of experience in business transformation, outsourcing, and professional services leadership, with a track record of scaling businesses, building go-to-market strategy, and forging partnerships across banking, fintech, retail, energy, technology, healthcare, and government.

HUMAN-FIRST. TECH-POWERED. TRANSFORMATION-DRIVEN.

